This article was downloaded by: On: 24 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597273

# Purification of a Water-Sensitive Natural Product with an Aprotic CPC

**Solvent System** Michael R. Jirousek<sup>a</sup>; Robert G. Salomon<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry Case Western, Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio

To cite this Article Jirousek, Michael R. and Salomon, Robert G.(1988) 'Purification of a Water-Sensitive Natural Product with an Aprotic CPC Solvent System', Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 11: 12, 2507 – 2515 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01483918808076743 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01483918808076743

### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

### PURIFICATION OF A WATER-SENSITIVE NATURAL PRODUCT WITH AN APROTIC CPC SOLVENT SYSTEM

MICHAEL R. JIROUSEK AND ROBERT G. SALOMON\*

Department of Chemistry Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106-2699

#### ABSTRACT

The partition coefficients of arachidonic acid (AA), prostaglandin (PG)  $H_2$  and PGE<sub>2</sub> in the binary acctonitrile-hexane two-phase solvent system can be favorably modified by addition of diethyl ether. In the ternary two-phase solvent system acctonitrile-hexane-diethyl ether the partition coefficients of AA and the prostaglandins diverge as the ratio of ether to acctonitrile-hexane (1:1) is increased from 0.00 to 0.15 while the partition coefficients of PGH<sub>2</sub> and PGE<sub>2</sub> diverge as the ratio of ether to acctonitrile-hexane (1:1) is increased from 0.15 to 0.30. Isolation by CPC of PGH<sub>2</sub> from the AA bioconversion reaction mixture is described.

#### INTRODUCTION

The prostaglandin endoperoxide  $PGH_2$  is a water-sensitive intermediate in the biosynthesis of prostaglandins, e.g.  $PGE_2$ , from arachidonic acid (AA). Isolation and purification of  $PGH_2$  is complicated by the fact that it decomposes with a half life of 5 min in the aqueous environment of its biosynthesis producing a 4:1 mixture of prostaglandins and levuglandins, e.g.  $LGE_2$  (1). Extraordinary instability in protic

2507

Copyright © 1988 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

<sup>\*</sup>Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.



solvents was shown to be a characteristic of the 2,3-dioxabicyclo-[2.2.1]heptane nucleus 1 of PGH<sub>2</sub> (2). Isotopic labeling and kinetic studies revealed that protic solvents foster decomposition to give levulinaldehyde (3) by migration of a bridgehead hydrogen and simultaneous cleavage of carbon-carbon and oxygen-oxygen bonds (3). Apparently hydrogen bonding of protic solvents with a dipolar transition state as in 2 promotes the rearrangement of 1 to 3. Silica-gel also catalyzes decompositions of PGH<sub>2</sub> (4,5) and 1. Hydrogen bonding as in 4 may play Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) promotes rearrangea role in this catalysis. ment of PGH<sub>2</sub> to form levuglandins virtually exclusively (3). This dipolar aprotic solvent apparently abstracts a bridgehead proton from the 2,3-dioxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane nucleus of PGH2 resulting in simultaneous cleavage of C-H, C-C and O-O bonds as in 5.

Purification of  $PGH_2$  by chromatography on silica-gel (4,6) or countercurrent partitioning between two-phase solvent systems containing *protic solvents or DMSO* is hampered by chemical instability. However, we now report that purification is readily achieved by centrifugal partition chromatography (CPC) using acctonitrile-hexaneether, an *aprotic* two-phase solvent system.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

HPLC grade acetonitrile and n-hexane were used. Reagent grade ethyl ether was purified by distillation from lithium aluminium hydride under dry nitrogen immediately prior to use. After mixing by vigorous shaking, two phase solvent systems were allowed to stand overnight before separation of the phases.

#### Centrifugal Partition Chromatography

CPC separations were performed with a Sanki Engineering Limited model NMF centrifugal partition chromatograph equipped with 6 type 250W partition cartridges with a 1200 rpm rotor speed at  $19^{\circ}$ C. Solvents were pumped with a Waters model 6000A solvent delivery system equipped with extended flow heads. Solvent switching and sample injection was accomplished with a Sanki model FCU II valve module. The effluent was monitored with an Instrumentation Specialties (ISCO) model 1840 absorbance monitor at 220 nm.

#### CPC Purification of PGH2

PGH<sub>2</sub> was prepared as described previously (3) by incubation of arachidonic acid (AA, 40 mg) in the presence of lyophilized ram seminal vesicle microsomes (1.8 g) in 0.1M pH 7.5 phosphate buffer (320 mL). With a rotor speed of 1200 rpm, the CPC cells were filled with the lower phase of an acetonitrile-hexane-ether (1.0:1.0:0.4 v/v/v) solvent system. The solvent flow was set at 2.2 mL/min, and the UV detector at 0.1% The upper phase was eluted (ascending mode). After 15 absorbance. minutes, one fourth of the crude bioconversion reaction product mixture (15 mg) in the upper phase (1 mL) was injected and the upper phase eluted for 165 min. The flow was then reversed and the lower phase was eluted (descending mode). After 19 min PGH<sub>2</sub> cluted as a sharp UV-active peak which responded positively to a peroxide test with acidic Solvent was removed by rotary evaporation  $Fe(NH_4)_2(SO_4)_2/NH_4SCN.$ below room temperature to deliver pure PGH<sub>2</sub> (6.9 mg) which was 96% pure according to <sup>1</sup>H NMR comparison of the integral areas of the olefinic hydrogen absorptions at  $\delta 5.33 - 5.48$  (4H) with the bridgehead hydrogen absorptions at  $\delta 4.52$  (H, s) and  $\delta 4.41$  (H, s). Partition Coefficients

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR method employed to determine partition coefficients is exemplified for  $PGE_2$  for an 0.2:1.0 ratio of ether to acctonitrile-hexane (1:1).The two phases which form after allowing a mixture of dry ether (40.0 mL), acetonitrile (100.0 mL), and n-hexane (100.0 mL) to equilibrate at 23°C were separated. The top phase (10.0 mL) and bottom phase (9.9 mL) were placed in a small seperatory funnel and PGE2 (0.3 mg) in the bottom phase (0.1 mL) was added. The mixture was shaken vigorously for 5 min and then allowed to stand 10 min. The two phases were then separated and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation under water aspirator vacuum. The residue from each phase was dissolved in a solution (750  $\mu$ L) of benzene (0.1% v/v) as internal standard in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of these solutions were recorded with a Varian XL-200 FT The ratio of the integral intensities for the olefinic instrument. hydrogen absorptions of PGE<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta 4.6$  - 4.9) and the benzene hydrogen absorption ( $\delta 7.2 - 7.4$ ) were determined. The top phase gave 0.0199 while the bottom phase showed 0.6936. The partition coefficient was then calculated to be K = 0.0287 for [top phase]/[bottom phase].

#### Ternary Solvent Phase Diagram

The molar ratio of components of each phase at  $23^{\circ}$ C or  $6^{\circ}$ C was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis as illustrated for acctonitrile-hexaneether (1.0:1.0:0.4 v/v/v). A spectrum was recorded for an aliquot of the top phase (100 µL) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (600 µL). The composition of the mixture was calculated to be 19.9% ether, 13.2% acctonitrile, and 66.9% n-hexane from the relative integral intensities of the absorptions at  $\delta$ 3.56 (4H, q, J = 7.03 Hz) for ether,  $\delta$ 1.38 (3H, s) for acetonitrile, and  $\delta$ 0.95-1.09 (6H, m) for n-hexane. The bottom phase was analogously determined to contain 10.6% ether, 79.4% acetonitrile, and 10.0% n-hexane.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The 2,3-dioxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane nucleus 1 of PGH<sub>2</sub> is most stable in nonpolar solvents, i.e. with dielectric constants  $\kappa \approx 2$ , such as benzene or cyclohexane (2). Although the rate of decomposition in water (  $\kappa \approx 80$ ) is about a thousand times greater, the rate of decomposition in acetonitrile ( $\kappa \approx 28$ ) is only four times that in nonpolar solvents (2,3). This suggested the possibility of purifying biosynthetic PGH<sub>2</sub> by countercurrent partitioning between aprotic two-phase solvent systems such as acetonitrile and a hydrocarbon (7). As a model for the complex reaction product mixture from the biosynthesis of PGH<sub>2</sub>, we determined partition coefficients  $K = [solute]_{stationary}/[solute]_{mobile}$  for AA, PGH<sub>2</sub>, and PGE<sub>2</sub> in acetonitrile-hexane (1:1). In the descending mode K was 0.225, 0.037, and 0.026 respecitvely. In the ascending mode K is the inverse of these values, i.e. 4.4, 27, and 38 respectively. These partition coefficients are satisfactory for separation of AA in either the ascending or descending mode but not satisfactory for separation of PGH<sub>2</sub> and PGE<sub>2</sub>.

#### <u>Ternary Acetonitrile-Hexane-Diethyl Ether Solvent Systems</u>

Addition of dicthyl ether to the acctonitrile-hexane solvent system was then examined since this would increase the solubilities of the relatively polar prostaglandins in the less polar upper phase. A phase diagram was constructed for the ternary acctonitrile-hexane-diethyl ether solvent system (figure 1). Mixtures containing up to 26% diethyl ether separate into two phases at 23°C. The diethyl ether additive is distributed approximately 60% into the less polar upper phase and 40% into the more polar lower phase. The temperature dependence of the



Figure 1. Phase diagram for acctonitrile-hexane-diethyl ether at 23°C (lower curve) and binodal curve at 6°C (upper curve).

plait point is evident from a comparison of binodal curves for this system at  $23^{\circ}$  and  $6^{\circ}$ C (figure 1). At  $6^{\circ}$ C two phases are obtained with diethyl ether comprising as much as 32% of the solvent mixture. Thus, phase volumes and compositions are expected to be especially sensitive to temperature for mixtures containing high ratios of diethyl ether versus acetonitrile-hexane. This should have a corresponding influence on the reproducibility of CPC separations using solvent mixtures near the plait point, solvent compositions which are best suited for separation of prostaglandins (vide infra).

#### Dependence of Partition Coefficient on Solvent Composition

Partition coefficients were determined for AA,  $PGH_2$ , and  $PGE_2$  in two phase solvent mixtures prepared from acctonitrile-hexane (1:1) and various amounts of diethyl ether. A plot of these data (figure 2) shows that the partition coefficients of AA and the prostaglandins diverge as



Figure 2. Partition of AA,  $PGH_2$ , and  $PGE_2$  in 1:1 hexane-acetonitrile solvent systems containing various amounts of ether (v/v).

the ratio of diethyl ether to acctonitrile-hexane (1:1) is increased from 0.00 to 0.15. Most importantly, the partition coefficients of  $PGH_2$  and  $PGE_2$  diverge as the ratio of diethyl ether to acetonitrile-hexane (1:1) is increased from 0.15 to 0.30 where ether comprises 23% of the solvent mixture. Further improvement is limited by the solvent composition of the plait point, 26% ether at 23°C (see figure 1).

#### CPC Purification of PGH2

 $PGE_2$  and  $PGH_2$  are not resolved by CPC with acetonitrile-hexane (1:1). Although nonpolar impurities are removed during an initial two hour extraction in the ascending mode, all polar components of the mixture including  $PGH_2$  and  $PGE_2$  exit the system immediately upon reversal of flow (figure 3 top). Isolation of pure  $PGH_2$  can be achieved with acetonitrile-hexane (1:1)/ether 1:0.15 (figure 3 middle) or 1:0.25



Figure 3. CPC purification of  $PGH_2$  from AA bioconversion.

(figure 3 bottom). With the 1:0.15 solvent system, a mixture of polar byproducts including  $PGE_2$  exit the system *immediately* upon reversal of flow.  $PGH_2$  clutes after the more polar prostaglandins and is followed by a large peak containing less polar impurities. With the most polar 1:0.25 solvent system (figure 3 bottom), the peak containing prostaglandins  $E_2$ and  $D_2$  clutes a few minutes after reversal of flow from the ascending to the descending mode and shows some resolution as do the less polar impurities which clute after  $PGH_2$ . Thus, acetonitrile-hexane (1:1)-ether mixtures close to the limiting diethyl ether content of the plait point provide optimum resolution of the reaction mixture from bioconversion of arachidonic acid.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by grant GM21249-13 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health.

#### REFERENCES

- Zagorski, M. G.; Salomon, R. G. Prostaglandin Endoperoxides. 12. Carboxylate Catalysis and the Effects of Proton Donors on the Decomposition of 2,3-Dioxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>104</u>, 3498, (1982).
- Coughlin, D. J., Salomon, R. G. Extraordinary Reactivity of the Prostaglandin Endoperoxide Nucleus. Nonpolar rearrangement of 2,3-Dioxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane and -[2.2.2]octane. J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>101</u>, 2761, (1979).
- Salomon, R. G., Miller, D. B., Zagorski, M. G., Coughlin, D. J. Solvent-Induced Fragmentation of Prostaglandin Endoperoxides. New Aldehyde Products from PGH<sub>2</sub> and a Novel Intermolecular 1,2-Hydride Shift during Endoperoxide Fragmentation in Aqueous Solution. J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>106</u>, 6049, (1984).
- 4. Hamberg, M., Svensson, J., Wakabayashi, T., Samuelsson, B. Isolation and Structure of Two Prostaglandin Endoperoxides That Cause Platlet Aggregation. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, <u>71</u>, 345 (1974).
- Nugteren, D. H., Christ-Hazeldorf, E. Chemical and Enzymic Conversions of the Prostaglandin Endoperoxide PGH<sub>2</sub>. Adv. Prostaglan. Thromb. Res., <u>6</u>, 129 (1980).
- 6. Porter, N. A., Byers, J. D., Holden, K. M., Menzel, D. B., Synthesis of Prostaglandin H<sub>2</sub>, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>101</u>, 4319 (**1979**).
- 7. Francis, A. W., Liquid-Liquid Equilibriums, Wiley, New York, 1963.